

## **ANALYSIS OF MEANS FOR ANALYZING MISSING DATA FROM EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS – PART II**

**\*J.SUBRAMANI** D J Academy for Managerial Excellence Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

## **ABSTRACT**

A step-by-step analysis of means (ANOM) procedure proposed by Subramani (1992) to analyze the missing data from randomized block designs has been extended to other experimental designs with missing observations. In part I of this paper, we have applied this method for analyzing the missing data from latin square designs, graeco-latin square designs and hyper graeco -latin square designs. In part II of this paper, it is decided to analyze the missing data from replicated latin square designs of Type I , Type II and Type III, cross over designs and F-Square designs. The procedure is also illustrated with the help of numerical examples. **Key words**: Analysis of Means; Missing Data; Replicated Latin Square Designs; Cross Over Designs; F-Square

**1. Introduction**

Designs.

Analysis of Means (ANOM) introduced by Ott (1967) is a graphical procedure to analyze the data from experimental designs with factors at fixed levels. Schilling (1973) has extended the ANOM procedure and introduced analysis of means for treatment effects (ANOME) to analyze the fixed effects in the crossed classifications, nested designs, balanced incomplete block designs etc. Subramani (1992) has applied the ANOME procedure to analyze the missing data from randomized block designs. This procedure has been extended by Subramani (2008a) to Latin Square Designs, Graeco Latin Square Designs and Hyper Graeco Latin Squares Designs. The fundamental idea is to get the estimates of the missing values, which may be obtained by using any one of the methods discussed by Subramani and Ponnuswamy (1989), Wilkinson (1958) and Yates (1933).

In this part II of this paper, a step-by-step ANOME procedure is given to analyze the missing data from Replicated Latin Squares Designs of Type I, Type II and Type III, Cross-over Designs without Residual Effects and F-Square Designs. The procedure is also illustrated with the help of a numerical example for each of the above designs.

## **2. ANOME Procedure For Missing Data**

For the sake of convenience and easy reference to the readers, the step-by-step ANOME procedure to analyze the missing data from any of the experimental designs is given below:

**Step 1 :** Write the model of the experimental design

**Step 2 :** Obtain the elements of the matrix A. The elements of the matrix A are obtained from the position of the missing values in the data table (Subramani and Ponnuswamy, 1989)

**Step 3 :** Obtain the elements of the vector b

**Step 4 :** Obtain the estimates of the missing values using  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1} \mathbf{b}$  and substitute these values into the data table

**Step 5 :** Estimate the treatment affects using standard orthogonal methods

**Step 6 :** Determine the degrees of freedom for the error sum of squares ( $f^*$ , say) as  $f^* = f-m$ , where f is the degrees of freedom for error sum of squares obtained from the ANOVA table with no missing values and *m* is the number of missing values

Step 7 : Obtain the estimate of the experimental error  $\sigma$ . Normally the estimate of  $\sigma$  is obtained as the square root of the error mean square from the ANOVA table.

**Step 8 :** Determine the decision lines (LDL and UDL) for the desired  $\alpha$  risk as

$$
\mathbf{0} \pm \mathbf{\sigma} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha} \sqrt{\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{n}}
$$

Where n: total number of observations in the experiment. q: degrees of freedom for the treatment effects to be plotted.  $h_{\alpha} : h_{\alpha} (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{f}^*)$ , critical factor obtained from the table of Schilling (1973), k is the number of points to be plotted.

**Step 9 :** Plot the treatment effects against the decision lines and draw the statistical inference. That is, if any of the treatment effects plotted on the ANOME chart falls outsides of either UDL or LDL, conclude that the

**\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [drjsubramani@yahoo.co.in](mailto:drjsubramani@yahoo.co.in)**

treatment effects are not homogeneous. Otherwise conclude that the treatment effects are homogeneous at the given level of significance.

## **3. Replicated Latin Squares Designs**

As stated by Montgomery (1984), the disadvantage of latin squares of order 2, 3 and 4 is that they provide a small error degrees of freedom. In the case of missing values the situation becomes much worse. In such situations, one can replicate the latin square design to increase the error degrees of freedom. The replication of a latin square design will lead to the following three designs:

- 1. Use the same rows and the same columns in each replicate
- 2. Use the same rows (columns) but different columns (rows) in each replicate
- 3. Use different rows and different columns in each replicate

The resulting designs for the above three cases are called Replicated Latin Squares Designs of Type I, Type II and Type III respectively. For other details and for the analysis of variance table one may refer to Montgomery (1984) and Subramani (1991). In this section, the step by step ANOME procedure of analyzing missing data from Replicated Latin Squares Designs of Type I, Type II and Type III are presented and also illustrated with the help of numerical examples for each of the designs. The ANOME procedure for analyzing missing data from replicated latin squares designs are presented in Sections 3.1 to 3.3., where as the numerical examples are given in Section 3.4.

#### **3.1.ANOME to Analyze Missing Data from Replicated Latin Squares Designs of Type I**

The replicated latin squares designs of Type I of order p are obtained by replicating a latin square design of order p in n times and using the same rows and same columns in each replicate. Let m be the number of missing values then  $m < (p-1)(np+n-3)$ . The proposed ANOME procedure is given below:

**Step 1:** The model of a replicated latin squares design of Type I is

$$
Y_{ij(k)l} = \mu + r_i + c_j + t_k + s_l + e_{ij(k)l}, \qquad i, j, k = 1, 2, ..., p, l = 1, 2, ..., n
$$

where  $Y_{ij(k)l}$  is the observation from i<sup>th</sup> row, j<sup>th</sup> column and  $k<sup>th</sup>$  treatment of  $l<sup>th</sup>$  square; r<sub>i</sub> is the effect of i<sup>th</sup> row;  $c_j$  is the effect of j<sup>th</sup> column;  $t_k$  is the effect of  $k$ <sup>th</sup>

treatment;  $s_i$  is the effect of  $I<sup>th</sup>$  square and  $e_{ij(k)l}$  is the error component with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ . **Step 2 :** The elements of the matrix A are obtained from Subramani (1991) as



**Step 3 :** The elements of the vector b are obtained as

$$
b_i = p(R_{(i)} + C_{(i)} + T_{(i)}) + nS_{(i)} - 3G',
$$
  
i= 1, 2, 3, ..., m.

where  $R_{(i)}$ ,  $C_{(i)}$ ,  $T_{(i)}$  and  $S_{(i)}$  are respectively the row, column, treatment and latin square totals corresponding to the i<sup>th</sup> missing value and  $G'$  is the grand total of all known observations.

**Step 4 :** The estimates of the missing values are obtained as  $x = A^{-1} b$ 

**Step 5 :** Substitute the estimates of the missing values in their respective positions and then obtain the different treatment effects. The  $k<sup>th</sup>$  treatment effect is obtained as

$$
T_k = Y_{(k)} - Y, k=1,2, ..., p.
$$

where  $Y_{(k)}$  is the mean value of the k<sup>th</sup> treatment and *Y* is the grand mean

**Step 6:** Determine the degrees of freedom for error sum of squares as  $f^* = f - m$ ,

where 
$$
f = (p-1)(np + n - 3)
$$
.

**Step 7:** The estimate of the experimental error  $\hat{\sigma}$  is obtained as

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{l=1}^{n} y_{ij(k)l}^2 - \frac{1}{np} \sum_{i=1}^{p} R_i^2 - \frac{1}{np} \sum_{j=1}^{p} C_j^2 - \frac{1}{np} \sum_{k=1}^{p} T_k^2 - \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} S_l^2 + \frac{3}{np^2} G^2\right)/f^*\right\}}
$$

**Step 8**: Compute the decision lines (LDL and UDL) for the desired risk  $\alpha$  as follows:

$$
0 \pm \sigma h_{\alpha} \sqrt{(p-1)/np^2} ,
$$

where  $h_a = h_a(p, f^*)$ 

**Step 9:** Plot the treatment effects 
$$
T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_p
$$
 against the decision lines and draw the conclusion that the

212 © SME

treatment effects are significant if at least one of the plotted points falls outside the decision lines. Otherwise conclude that the treatment effects are homogeneous. **3.2. ANOME to Analyze Missing Data from** 

**Replicated Latin Squares Designs of Type II**

The replicated latin squares designs of Type II of order p are obtained by replicating a latin square design of order p in n times and using the same columns (rows) but different rows (columns) in each replicate. Let m be the number of missing values then  $m < (p-1)(np-2)$ . . The proposed ANOME procedure is given below:

**Step 1:** The model of a replicated latin squares design of Type II is

 $Y_{ij(k)l} = \mu + r_{i(l)} + c_j + t_k + s_l + e_{ij(k)l},$   $i, j, k = 1,2,...,p, l = 1,2,...,n$ 

where  $Y_{ij(k)l}$  is the observation from i<sup>th</sup> row, j<sup>th</sup> column and  $k<sup>th</sup>$  treatment of  $l<sup>th</sup>$  square;  $r_{i(l)}$  is the effect of  $i<sup>th</sup>$  row

of  $l^{th}$  latin square; c<sub>j</sub> is the effect of j<sup>th</sup> column; t<sub>k</sub> is the effect of  $k^{th}$  treatment;  $s_l$  is the effect of  $l^{th}$  latin square and  $e_{i j(k)l}$  is the error component with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

**Step 2 :** The elements of the matrix A are obtained from Subramani (1991) as

$$
A = (a_{ij}) =
$$
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n(p-1)(np-2) & \text{if } i = j \\
-(np-2) & \text{if } i^{th} \text{ and } j^{th} \text{ miss in } g \text{ values are of a particular row} \\
-(p-2) & \text{if } i^{th} \text{ and } j^{th} \text{ miss in } g \text{ values are of a particular} \\
\text{column or treatment} \\
2 & \text{otherwise}\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

**Step 3 :** The elements of the vector b are obtained as

L

 $b_i = p(nR_{(i)} + C_{(i)} + T_{(i)}) - 2G'$ , i= 1, 2, 3, ...,m.

where  $R_{(i)}$ ,  $C_{(i)}$  and  $T_{(i)}$  are respectively the row, column and treatment totals corresponding to the i<sup>th</sup> missing value and  $G'$  is the grand total of all known observations.

**Step 4 :** The estimates of the missing values are obtained as  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$ 

**Step 5 :** Substitute the estimates of the missing values in their respective positions and then obtain the different treatment effects. The k<sup>th</sup> treatment effect is obtained as

$$
T_k = \overline{Y}_{(k)} - \overline{Y}
$$
, k=1,2, ..., p.

where  $\overline{Y}_{(k)}$  is the mean value of the k<sup>th</sup> treatment and Y is the grand mean

**Step 6:** Determine the degrees of freedom for error sum of squares as  $f^* = f - m$ ,

where  $f = (p-1)(np-2)$ .

**Step 7:** The estimate of the experimental error  $\hat{\sigma}$  is obtained as

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{l=1}^{n} y_{ij(k)l}^{2} - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{l=1}^{n} R_{i(l)}^{2} - \frac{1}{np} \sum_{j=1}^{p} C_j^{2} - \frac{1}{np} \sum_{k=1}^{p} T_k^{2} + \frac{2}{np^{2}} G^{2}\right)/f^{*}\right\}}
$$

**Step 8**: Compute the decision lines (LDL and UDL) for the desired risk  $\alpha$  as follows:

$$
0 \pm \sigma h_{\alpha} \sqrt{(p-1)/np^2} , \text{ where } h_{\alpha} = h_{\alpha}(p, f^*)
$$

**Step 9:** Plot the treatment effects  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_p$  against the decision lines and draw the conclusion that the treatment effects are significant if at least one of the plotted points falls outside the decision lines. Otherwise conclude that the treatment effects are homogeneous.

#### **3.3. ANOME to Analyze Missing Data from Replicated Latin Squares Designs of Type III**

The replicated latin squares designs of Type III of order p are obtained by replicating a latin square design of order p in n times and using different rows and different columns in each replicate. Let m be the number of missing values then  $m < (p-1)(np-n-1)$ . The proposed ANOME procedure is given below:

**Step 1:** The model of a replicated latin squares design of Type III is

 $Y_{ij(k)l} = \mu + r_{i(l)} + c_{j(l)} + t_k + s_l + e_{ij(k)l},$  i, j, k = 1,2,...,p, l = 1,2, ...,n

where  $Y_{ij(k)l}$  is the observation from i<sup>th</sup> row, j<sup>th</sup> column and  $k^{th}$  treatment of  $l^{th}$  square;  $r_{i(l)}$  is the effect of i<sup>th</sup>

row of  $l^{th}$  latin square;  $c_{j(1)}$  is the effect of j<sup>th</sup> column of  $l^{th}$  latin square; t<sub>k</sub> is the effect of k<sup>th</sup> treatment;  $s_l$  is the

effect of  $l^{th}$  latin square and  $e_{ij(k)l}$  is the error component with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

**Step 2 :** The elements of the matrix A are obtained from Subramani (1991) as



L  $\mathsf{L}$ I. L L Ľ l G L L L F  $\mathbb{R}$ L L L | – L L L F  $\mathbb{R}$ L L n L  $(p-1)(np-n-1)$  *if*  $i = j$  $+1$  $\nu$  – 1  $n - n - 1$  $\mu\nu - \mu - 1$  $a_{n+1} = 1$ *otherwise* different rows, columns and treatments  $(n+1)$  *if*  $i^{th}$  *and*  $j^{th}$  *mis sin g values are of a particular latin square but from different latin squares*  $(p-1)$  *if*  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $j^{\text{th}}$  missing values are in a particular treatmentbut from *particular latin square*  $(p - n - 1)$  if  $i<sup>th</sup>$  *and*  $j<sup>th</sup>$  *mis* sin g values are *in* a particular treatment and of a  $(np - n - 1)$  if  $i<sup>th</sup>$  *and*  $j<sup>th</sup>$  *mis* sin *g* values are *in* a particular row or column  $A = (a_{n})$ *i j* 1  $(a_{ii})$  :

**Step 3 :** The elements of the vector b are obtained as

 $b_i = p(nR_{(i)} + nC_{(i)} + T_{(i)}) - nS_{(i)} - 2G'$ , i= 1, 2, 3, ... ,m. where  $R_{(i)}$ ,  $C_{(i)}$ ,  $T_{(i)}$  and  $S_{(i)}$  are respectively the row, column, treatment and latin square totals corresponding to the i<sup>th</sup> missing value and  $G'$  is the grand total of all known observations.

**Step 4 :** The estimates of the missing values are obtained as  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$ 

**Step 5 :** Substitute the estimates of the missing values in their respective positions and then obtain the different treatment effects. The  $k<sup>th</sup>$  treatment effect is obtained as  $T_k = \overline{Y}_{(k)} - \overline{Y}$ , k=1,2, ..., p.where  $Y_{(k)}$  is the mean

value of the  $k<sup>th</sup>$  treatment and Y is the grand mean **Step 6:** Determine the degrees of freedom for error sum

of squares as  $f^* = f - m$ , where  $f = (p-1)(np-n-1)$ .

# Latin Square 1 Latin Square 2

**Table 3.1.: Missing data in Replicated LSD**







**Step 7:** The estimate of the experimental error  $\hat{\sigma}$  is obtained as



 **Step 8**: Compute the decision lines (LDL and UDL) for the desired risk  $\alpha$  as follows:

$$
0 \pm \sigma h_{\alpha} \sqrt{(p-1)/np^2} ,
$$

where  $h_{\alpha} = h_{\alpha} (p, f^*)$ 

**Step 9:** Plot the treatment effects  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_p$  against the decision lines and draw the conclusion that the treatment effects are significant if at least one of the plotted points falls outside the decision lines. Otherwise conclude that the treatment effects are homogeneous.

#### **3.4. Numerical Example**

Consider the hypothetical data given below for replicated latin squares designs with 4 treatments in 3 latin squares. That is  $p=4$  and  $n=3$ . In the data given in Table 3.1, we get the following:

- Replicated Latin squares Design of Type I if we assume that the rows and the columns are the same for all latin squares
- Replicated Latin squares Design of Type II if we assume that the rows are different but the columns are the same for all latin squares
- $\triangleright$  Replicated Latin squares Design of Type III if we assume that the rows and the columns are different for all latin squares

For the sake of convenience the treatments A, B, C, D etc. are referred as 1, 2, 3, 4 etc. Here the observations  $Y_{12(4)3}$ ,  $Y_{23(4)3}$  and  $Y_{34(4)3}$  are the missing values. The resulting data are given below:

$$
T_4 = \frac{134.58}{12} - \frac{492.58}{48} = 11.215 - 10.262 = 0.953
$$

The degrees of freedom for error component  $\sigma^2$  is  $f^* =$  $36 - 3 = 33$ 

The estimate of the experimental error  $\hat{\sigma}$  is obtained as

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left\{ \left[ 5305.6 - \frac{60748.0}{12} - \frac{60889.5}{12} - \frac{61037.7}{12} - \frac{81180.4}{16} + \frac{3 \times 242635.5}{48} \right\}} / 33 \right\}
$$
  
= 2.293585

The value of the critical factor is obtained as  $h_{0.05}$  (4,33) = 2.64

The decision lines at  $\alpha$  = 0.05 are obtained as LDL =  $0-2.293585x2.64x\sqrt{3}/48$  =  $-1.5138$  $UDL = 0 + 2.293585 \times 2.64 \times \sqrt{3}/48 = 1.5138$ 

Now plot the treatment effects  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  as in Figure 3.1. From the Figure 3.1 it is observed that all the plotted treatment effects are within the two decision lines and hence one can conclude that the effects of different treatments are the same at 5% level of significance.



**Case (ii):** Replicated Latin Squares design of Type II By using the proposed method the matrix A and elements of the vector b are obtained as given below:

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 30 & -2 & -2 \\ -2 & 30 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & 30 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Let  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  be the corresponding estimated values of the missing values  $Y_{12(4)3}$ ,  $Y_{23(4)3}$ and  $Y_{34(4)3}$ .

**Case (i):** Replicated Latin Squares design of Type I By using the proposed method the matrix A and elements of the vector b are obtained as given below:

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 36 & -4 & -4 \\ -4 & 36 & -4 \\ -4 & -4 & 36 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
b<sub>1</sub> = 4(109 + 121 + 98)+3x140 - 3 x 456 = 364  
b<sub>2</sub> = 4(116 + 113 + 98)+3x140 - 3 x 456 = 360  
b<sub>3</sub> = 4(105 + 109 + 98)+3x140 - 3 x 456 = 300

Since the missing values are of a particular pattern discussed in Subramani (1991), one can give explicit expressions for the estimates of the missing values as

$$
[(p-1)(np+n-3)-(m-1)(p+n-3)]b_i + (p+n-3)\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j
$$
  

$$
X_i = \frac{\left[(p-1)(np+n-3)-(m-1)(p+n-3)\right]\left[(p-1)(np+n-3)+(p+n-3)\right]}{i = 1,2,\dots,m}
$$

It is given that  $m=3$ ,  $n=3$  and  $p=4$ . By substituting those values in the above equation the estimates of the missing values are obtained as:

$$
X_1 = Y_{12(4)3} = \frac{[(4-1)(3*4+3-3)-(3-1)(4+3-3)]664 + (4+3-3)1024}{[(4-1)(4*3+3-3)-(3-1)(4+3-3)][(4-1)(4*3+3-3)+(4+3-3)]} = 12.76
$$
  
\n
$$
X_2 = Y_{22(4)3} = \frac{[(4-1)(3*4+3-3)-(3-1)(4+3-3)]60 + (4+3-3)1024}{[(4-1)(4*3+3-3)-(3-1)(4+3-3)][(4-1)(4*3+3-3)+(4+3-3)]} = 12.66
$$
  
\n
$$
X_3 = Y_{34(4)3} = \frac{[(4-1)(3*4+3-3)-(3-1)(4+3-3)][(4-1)(4*3+3-3)+(4+3-3)]024}{[(4-1)(4*3+3-3)-(3-1)(4+3-3)][(4-1)(4*3+3-3)+(4+3-3)]} = 11.16
$$

After substituting the estimated values of the missing values in their respective positions the treatment effects are obtained as:

$$
T_1 = \frac{113}{12} - \frac{492.58}{48} = 9.417 - 10.262 = -0.845
$$

$$
T_2 = \frac{114}{12} - \frac{492.58}{48} = 9.500 - 10.262 = -0.762
$$

$$
T_3 = \frac{131}{12} - \frac{492.58}{48} = 10.917 - 10.262 = 0.655
$$

215 © SME

$$
b_1 = 4(3x35 + 121 + 98) - 2 \times 456 = 384
$$
  
\n
$$
b_2 = 4(3x32 + 113 + 98) - 2 \times 456 = 316
$$
  
\n
$$
b_3 = 4(3x32 + 109 + 98) - 2 \times 456 = 300
$$

Since the missing values are of a particular pattern discussed in Subramani (1991), one can give explicit expressions for the estimates of the missing values as:

$$
X_i = \frac{[(p-1)(np-2) - (m-1)(p-2)]b_i + (p-2)\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j}{[(p-1)(np-2) - (m-1)(p-2)][(p-1)(np-2) + (p-2)]}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m
$$

It is given that  $m=3$ ,  $n=3$  and  $p=4$ . By substituting those values in the above equation the estimates of the missing values are obtained as:

$$
X_1 = Y_{12(4)3} = \frac{[(4-1)(4*3-2)-(3-1)(4-2)]884 + (4-2)1000}{[(4-1)(4*3-2)-(3-1)(4-2)][(4-1)(4*3-2)+(4-2)]} = 14.40
$$
  
\n
$$
X_2 = Y_{23(4)3} = \frac{[(4-1)(4*3-2)-(3-1)(4-2)]816 + (4-2)1000}{[(4-1)(4*3-2)-(3-1)(4-2)][(4-1)(4*3-2)+(4-2)]} = 12.28
$$
  
\n
$$
X_3 = Y_{34(4)3} = \frac{[(4-1)(4*3-2)-(3-1)(4-2)]800 + (4-2)1000}{[(4-1)(4*3-2)-(3-1)(4-2)][(4-1)(4*3-2)+(4-2)]} = 11.78
$$

After substituting the estimated values of the missing values in their respective positions the treatment effects are obtained as:

$$
T_1 = \frac{113}{12} - \frac{494.46}{48} = 9.417 - 10.30125 = -0.885
$$
  

$$
T_2 = \frac{114}{12} - \frac{494.46}{48} = 9.500 - 10.30125 = -0.801
$$
  

$$
T_3 = \frac{131}{12} - \frac{494.46}{48} = 10.917 - 10.30125 = 0.615
$$

$$
T_4 = \frac{136.46}{12} - \frac{494.46}{48} = 11.215 - 10.30125 = 1.070
$$

The degrees of freedom for error component  $\sigma^2$  is  $f^* = 30 - 3 = 27$ 

The estimate of the experimental error  $\hat{\sigma}$  is obtained as



Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 2008, Vol.3, Issue.4

The value of the critical factor is obtained as  $h_{0.05}$  (4,27) = 2.68

The decision lines at  $\alpha$  = 0.05 are obtained as

LDL = 0-2.68x2.364728x 
$$
\sqrt{3/48}
$$
 = -1.5844  
UDL = 0 +2.68x2.364728x  $\sqrt{3/48}$  = 1.5844

Now plot the treatment effects  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ as in Figure 3.2. From the Figure 3.2 it is observed that all the plotted treatment effects are within the two decision lines and hence one can conclude that the effects of different treatments are the same at 5% level of significance.



**Case (iii):** Replicated Latin Squares design of Type III By using the proposed method the matrix A and elements of the vector b are obtained as given below:

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 24 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 24 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 24 \end{bmatrix}
$$

 $b_1 = 4(3x35 + 3x31 + 98) - 3x140 - 456 = 308$  $b_2 = 4(3x32 + 3x38 + 98) - 3x140 - 456 = 356$  $b_3 = 4(3x32 + 3x31 + 98) - 3x140 - 456 = 272$ 

Since the missing values are of a particular pattern discussed in Subramani (1991), one can give explicit expressions for the estimates of the missing values as:

$$
X_i = \frac{[(p-1)(np-n-1)-(m-1)(p-n-1)]b_i + (p-n-1)\sum_{j=1}^{n}b_j}{[(p-1)(np-n-1)-(m-1)(p-n-1)][(p-1)(np-n-1)+(p-n-1)]}, \quad i = 1, 2, ...., m
$$

 $\odot$  SME

*m*

When  $p - n - 1 = 0$ , the above equation is reduced to

$$
X_i = \frac{b_i}{(p-1)(np-n-1)}, \quad i=1, 2, ..., m.
$$

It is given that  $m=3$ ,  $n=3$  and  $p=4$ . By substituting those values in the above equation the estimates of the missing values are obtained as:  $200$ 

$$
X_1 = Y_{12(4)3} = \frac{306}{(4-1)(4*3-3-1)} = 12.83
$$
  
\n
$$
X_2 = Y_{23(4)3} = \frac{356}{(4-1)(4*3-3-1)} = 14.83
$$
  
\n
$$
X_3 = Y_{34(4)3} = \frac{272}{(4-1)(4*3-3-1)} = 11.33
$$

After substituting the estimated values of the missing values in their respective positions the treatment effects are obtained as:

$$
T_1 = \frac{113}{12} - \frac{494.99}{48} = 9.417 - 10.3123 = -0.896
$$

$$
T_2 = \frac{114}{12} - \frac{494.99}{48} = 9.500 - 10.3123 = -0.812
$$

$$
T_3 = \frac{131}{12} - \frac{494.99}{48} = 10.917 - 10.3123 = 0.604
$$

$$
T_4 = \frac{136.99}{12} - \frac{494.99}{48} = 11.416 - 10.3123 = 1.104
$$

The degrees of freedom for error component  $\sigma^2$  is  $f^* = 24 - 3 = 21$ 

The estimate of the experimental error  $\hat{\sigma}$  is obtained as

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left\{ \left[ 5370.9067 - \frac{20627.2467}{4} - \frac{20835.9067}{4} - \frac{61692.2601}{12} + \frac{82037.4201}{16} + \frac{245015.1001}{48} \right\}} / 21 \right\}
$$
  
= 2.13716

The value of the critical factor is obtained as  $h_{0.05}$  (4, 21) = 2.74

The decision lines at  $\alpha$  = 0.05 are obtained as LDL =  $0 - 2.13716x2.74x\sqrt{3}/48$  =  $-1.464$  $UDL = 0 + 2.13716x2.74x \sqrt{3}/48 = 1.464$ 





#### **4. F- Square Designs**

In this section, the step by step procedure of analyzing missing data from F- Square design is presented and also illustrated with the help of a numerical example. The procedure is discussed in Section 4.1., where as the numerical example is given in Section 4.2.

#### **4.1. ANOME to Analyze Missing Data from F- Square Designs**

Consider a F-Square design with t treatments in a pxp square such that each treatment appears  $\lambda$  times in each row and in each column. For want of space, the definition, design and applications of F-Square designs are not discussed here and the readers are referred to Hedayat and Seiden (1970), Hedayat, Raghavarao and Seiden (1975), Subramani and Aggarwal (1993) and the references cited therein. Let m be the number of missing values then  $m < (p-1)(p-2) + (p-t)$ . The proposed ANOME procedure is given below:

**Step 1:** The model of a F-Square design is  $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, p$  and  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t$  $i, j = 1,2,..., p$  and  $k = 1,2,...,$ 

where  $Y_{ij(k)}$  is the observation from i<sup>th</sup> row, j<sup>th</sup> column and  $k<sup>th</sup>$  treatment;  $r<sub>i</sub>$  is the effect of i<sup>th</sup> row;  $c<sub>i</sub>$  is the effect of j<sup>th</sup> column;  $t_k$  is the effect of  $k<sup>th</sup>$  treatment and  $e_{ij(k)}$  is the error component with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

 $\odot$  SME

**Step 2 :** The elements of the matrix A are obtained from Subramani and Aggarwal (1993) as



**Step 3 :** The elements of the vector b are obtained as  $b_i = p(R_{(i)} + C_{(i)} + T_{(i)}/\lambda) - 2G'$ , i= 1, 2, ..., m.

where  $R_{(i)}$ ,  $C_{(i)}$ , and  $T_{(i)}$  are respectively the row, column and treatment totals corresponding to the i<sup>th</sup> missing value and  $G'$  is the grand total of all known observations

**Step 4 :** The estimates of the missing values are obtained as  $x = A^{-1}b$ 

**Step 5 :** Substitute the estimates of the missing values in their respective positions and then obtain the different treatment effects are obtained as

$$
T_k = Y_{(k)} - Y, k=1, 2, ..., t.
$$

where  $Y_{(k)}$  is the mean value of the k<sup>th</sup> treatment and

*Y* is the grand mean.

**Step 6:** Determine the degrees of freedom for error sum of squares as  $f^*$  =f-m, where  $f = (p-1)(p-2) + (p-t)$ 

**Step 7:** The estimate of the experimental error  $\hat{\sigma}$  is obtained as

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} y_{ij(k)}^2 - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} R_i^2 - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} C_j^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda p} \sum_{k=1}^{t} T_k^2 + \frac{2}{p^2} G^2 \right) / f^* \right\}}
$$

**Step 8**: Compute the decision lines (LDL and UDL) for the desired risk  $\alpha$  as follows:

$$
0 \pm \sigma h_{\alpha} \sqrt{(p-1)/p^2}
$$
, where

 $h_{\alpha} = h_{\alpha} (p, f^*)$ 

**Step 9:** Plot the treatment effects  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_t$  against the decision lines and draw the conclusion that the treatment effects are significant if at least one of the plotted points falls outside the decision lines. Otherwise conclude that the treatment effects are homogeneous at  $\alpha$  level of significance.

Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 2008, Vol.3, Issue.4

#### **4.2. Numerical Example**

Consider the F-Square design with 3 treatments in 6 rows and 6 columns such that each treatment is replicated 2 (=  $\lambda$ ) times in each row and in each column. The design is obtained from the latin square design given in John and Quenouille (1977, page 55) by replacing the treatments D, E and F respectively as A, B and C. The resulting data is given in the Table 4.1.





Let  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $X_5$  and  $X_6$  be the corresponding estimated values of the missing values  $Y_{12(1)}$ ,  $Y_{26(1)}$ ,  $Y_{35(1)}$ ,  $Y_{43(1)}$ ,  $Y_{54(1)}$  and  $Y_{61(1)}$ . By using the proposed method the matrix A and elements of the vector b are obtained as given below:

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 23 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 23 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 23 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 23 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 23 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 23 \end{bmatrix}
$$

 $b_1 = 6(161.2 + 177.2 + 182.7/2) - 2x1020.4 = 537.7$  $b_2 = 6(182.6 + 173.2 + 182.7/2) - 2x1020.4 = 642.1$  $b_3 = 6(154.0 + 173.9 + 182.7/2) - 2x1020.4 = 474.7$  $b_4 = 6(179.1 + 155.2 + 182.7/2) - 2 \times 1020.4 = 513.1$  $b_5 = 6(187.7 + 155.7 + 182.7/2) - 2x1020.4 = 567.3$  $b_6 = 6(155.8 + 185.2 + 182.7/2) - 2x1020.4 = 553.3$ 

Since the missing values are of a particular pattern discussed in Subramani and Aggarwal (1993), one can give explicit expressions for the estimates of the missing values as:

 $\odot$  SME

$$
X_i = \frac{[p(p-2)/(t-2) - m]b_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j}{p(p-2)[p(p-2)/(t-2) - m]},
$$

 $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ .

It is given that  $m=6$ ,  $t=3$  and  $p=6$ . By substituting those values in the above equation, the estimates of the missing values are obtained as:

$$
X_1 = Y_{12(1)} = \frac{\left[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6\right]537.7 + 3288.6}{6(6-2)\left[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6\right]} = 30.0167
$$

$$
X_2 = Y_{26(1)} = \frac{\left[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6\right]642.1 + 3288.6}{6(6-2)\left[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6\right]} = 34.3667
$$

$$
X_3 = Y_{35(1)} = \frac{\left[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6\right]474.7 + 3288.6}{6(6-2)\left[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6\right]} = 27.3917
$$

$$
X_4 = Y_{43(1)} = \frac{\left[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6\right]513.1 + 3288.6}{6(6-2)\left[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6\right]} = 28.9917
$$

$$
X_5 = Y_{54(1)} = \frac{\left[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6\right]567.7 + 3288.6}{6(6-2)\left[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6\right]} = 31.2667
$$

$$
X_6 = Y_{61(1)} = \frac{[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6]553.3 + 3288.6}{6(6-2)[6(6-2)/(3-2) - 6]} = 30.6667
$$

After substituting the estimated values of the missing values in their respective positions the treatment effects are obtained as:

$$
T_1 = \frac{365.4}{12} - \frac{1203.1}{36} = 30.4500 - 33.4195 = -2.9694
$$
  
\n
$$
T_2 = \frac{415.8}{12} - \frac{1203.1}{36} = 34.6500 - 33.4195 = 1.2306
$$
  
\n
$$
T_3 = \frac{421.9}{12} - \frac{1203.1}{36} = 35.1583 - 33.4195 = 1.7389
$$

The degrees of freedom for error component  $\sigma^2$  is  $f^* =$ 23-6 = 17. The estimate of the experimental error  $\hat{\sigma}$  is obtained as

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} y_{ij(k)}^2 - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} R_i^2 - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} C_j^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda p} \sum_{k=1}^{t} T_k^2 + \frac{2}{p^2} G^2 \right) / f^* \right\}}
$$

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left\{ \left( 40994.4 - \frac{242559.7}{6} - \frac{242022.6}{6} - \frac{484406.5}{12} + \frac{2 * 1447450}{36} \right) / 17 \right\}}
$$

$$
\hat{\sigma} = 4.0397
$$

Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 2008, Vol.3, Issue.4

The value of the critical factor is obtained as  $h_{0.05}$  (3, 17) = 2.65

The decision lines at 
$$
\alpha
$$
= 0.05 are obtained as  
LDL = 0-4.0397x2.65 x  $\sqrt{2/36}$  = -2.5232  
UDL = 0+4.0397x2.65 x  $\sqrt{2/36}$  = 2.5232

Now plot the treatment effects  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  as in Figure 4.1. From the Figure 4.1 it is observed that the plotted treatment effect  $T_1$  falls outside the decision line and hence one can conclude that the effects of different treatments are not the same at 5% level of significance.



## **5. Cross over Designs Without Residual Effect**

In this section, the step by step ANOME procedure of analyzing missing data from Cross-over designs without residual effect is presented and also illustrated with the help of a numerical example. The proposed ANOME procedure is discussed in Section 5.1., where as the numerical example is given in Section 5.2.

#### **5.1. ANOME to Analyze Missing Data from Cross-over Designs without Residual Effect**

Consider a Cross-over design with t treatments in t rows and in p columns. For want of space, we are not discussing the definition, design and applications of Cross-over designs and the readers are referred to Cochran and Cox (1957) and Subramani (1994) and the references cited there in. Let m be the number of

© SME

missing values then  $m<(t-1)(p-2)$ . The proposed ANOME procedure is given below:

#### **Step 1:** The model of the Cross-over design is

 $Y_{ij(k)} = \mu + r_i + c_j + t_k + e_{ij(k)}$  $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, t$  *and*  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ where  $Y_{ij(k)}$  is the observation from i<sup>th</sup> row, j<sup>th</sup> column and  $k^{th}$  treatment;  $r_i$  is the effect of i<sup>th</sup> row;  $c_j$  is the effect of  $j<sup>th</sup>$  column;  $t<sub>k</sub>$  is the effect of  $k<sup>th</sup>$  treatment and  $e_{ij(k)}$  is the error component with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

**Step 2 :** The elements of the matrix A are obtained from Subramani (1994) as

$$
A = (a_{ij}) = \begin{cases} (t-1)(p-2) & \text{if } i = j \\ (p-2) & \text{if } i^{th} \text{ and } j^{th} \text{ missing values are from a particular column} \\ -(t-2) & \text{if } i^{th} \text{ and } j^{th} \text{ missing values are from a particular treatment (row) but from different rows (treatments) different rows \\ -(2t-2) & \text{if } i^{th} \text{ and } j^{th} \text{ missing values are of a particular row and of a particular treatment} \\ 2 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

**Step 3 :** The elements of the vector b are obtained as  $b_i = tR_{(i)} + pC_{(i)} + tT_{(i)} - 2G'$ 

where  $R_{(i)}$ ,  $C_{(i)}$  and  $T_{(i)}$  are respectively the row, column and treatment corresponding to the i<sup>th</sup> missing value and  $G'$  is the grand total of all known observations.

**Step 4 :** The estimates of the missing values are obtained as  $x = A^{-1}b$ 

**Step 5 :** Substitute the estimates of the missing values in their respective positions and then obtain different treatment effects. The k<sup>th</sup> treatment effect is obtained as

$$
T_k = \overline{Y}_k - \overline{Y}, \quad k=1, 2, ..., t
$$

where  $Y_k$  is the mean value of the  $k<sup>th</sup>$  treatment and  $Y$ is the grand mean

**Step 6:** Determine the degrees of freedom for error sum of squares as  $f^* = f-m$ , where  $f = (t-1)(p-2)$ **Step 7:** The estimate of the experimental error  $\hat{\sigma}$  is obtained as

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{p} y_{ij(k)}^2 - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{r} R_i^2 - \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{p} C_j^2 - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{r} T_k^2 + 2G^2 \right) / f^* \right\}}
$$

Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 2008, Vol.3, Issue.4

**Step 8**: Compute the decision lines (LDL and UDL) for the desired risk  $\alpha$  as follows:

$$
0 \pm \sigma h_{\alpha} \sqrt{(t-1)/tp} ,
$$

where  $h_{\alpha} = h_{\alpha}(t, f^*)$ 

**Step 9:** Plot the treatment effects  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_t$  against the decision lines and draw the conclusion that the treatment effects are significant if at least one of the plotted points falls outside the decision lines. Otherwise conclude that the treatment effects are homogeneous at  $\alpha$  level of significance.

## **5.2. Numerical Example**

Consider the Cross-over design with 2 treatments, 2 rows and 10 columns given in Cochran and Cox (1957, page 130). That is  $t=2$  and  $p=10$ . In the data, assume that the observations in the cells (1,4), (1,5) and (1,7) are missing, which leads to the observations  $Y_{14(2)}$ ,  $Y_{15(2)}$  and  $Y_{17(2)}$  are the missing values. The resulting data are given in Table 5.1 below:

**Table 5.1.: Missing Data in Cross-over Designs**

Rows	Columns										Total
	c1	c2	c <sub>3</sub>	c4	c <sub>5</sub>	c6	c7	c8	c <sub>9</sub>	c10	
R1	А	B	А	B	B	А	B	А	A	B	161
	30	21	22	***	***	29	***	12	23	24	
R <sub>2</sub>	B	А	B	A	A	B	A	B	B	А	158
	14	21	5	22	18	17	16	14	8	23	
Total	44	42	27	22	18	46	16	26	31	47	319

Let  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  be the corresponding estimated values of the missing values  $Y_{14(2)}$ ,  $Y_{15(2)}$  and Y17(2). By using the proposed method the matrix A and elements of the vector b are obtained as given below:

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -2 & -2 \\ -2 & 8 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & 8 \end{bmatrix}
$$

 $b_1 = 2*161 + 10x22 + 2x103 - 2x319 = 110$  $b_2 = 2*161 + 10x18 + 2x103 - 2x319 = 70$  $b_3 = 2*161 + 10x16 + 2x103 - 2x319 = 50$ 

Since the missing values are of a particular pattern discussed in Subramani (1994), one can give explicit expressions for the estimates of the missing values as:

 $\odot$  SME

$$
(p-2m)b_i + 2\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j
$$

$$
X_i = \frac{p(t-1)(p-2m)}{p(t-1)(p-2m)}
$$
  
i = 1, 2, ..., m.

It is given that  $t=2$ , m=3 and p=10. By substituting those values in the above equation, the estimates of the missing values are obtained as:

,

$$
X_1 = Y_{14(2)} = \frac{(10 - 6)x110 + 2x230}{10(2 - 1)(10 - 2x3)} = \frac{900}{40} = 22.5
$$

$$
X_2 = Y_{15(2)} = \frac{(10 - 6)x110 + 2x230}{10(2 - 1)(10 - 2x3)} = \frac{900}{40} = 22.5
$$
  

$$
X_3 = Y_{17(2)} = \frac{(10 - 6)x50 + 2x230}{10(2 - 1)(10 - 2x3)} = \frac{660}{40} = 16.5
$$

After substituting the estimated values of the missing values in the respective positions the treatment effects are obtained as:

$$
T_1 = \frac{216}{10} - \frac{376.5}{20} = 21.6 - 18.825 = 2.775
$$
  

$$
T_2 = \frac{160.5}{10} - \frac{376.5}{20} = 216.05 - 18.825 = -2.775
$$

The degrees of freedom for error component  $\sigma^2$  is  $f^* = 8-3 = 5$ 

The estimate of the experimental error  $\hat{\sigma}$  is obtained as

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{p} y_{ij(k)}^{2} - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{I} R_{i}^{2} - \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{p} C_{j}^{2} - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{I} T_{k}^{2} + 2G^{2} \right) / f^{*} \right\}}
$$
  

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\left\{ \left( 7839.75 - \frac{72706.25}{10} - \frac{14759.75}{2} - \frac{72416.25}{10} + \frac{2 \cdot 141752.25}{20} \right) / 5 \right\}}
$$
  

$$
\hat{\sigma} = 4.9568
$$

The value of the critical factor is obtained as  $h_{0.05}$  (2,5) = 2.57

The decision lines at  $\alpha$  = 0.05 are obtained as LDL = 0-4.9568x2.57  $x \sqrt{1/20}$  = -2.8485  $UDL = 0+4.9568x2.57 \times \sqrt{1/20} = +2.8485$ 

Now plot the treatment effects  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  as in Figure 5.1. From the Figure 5.1 it is observed that all the plotted treatment effects fall within the two decision lines and hence one can conclude that the effects of different treatments are the same at 5% level of significance.



#### **Summary**

It is well known that the ANOME procedure is useful to assess the Engineering significance as well as statistical significance from the experimental data with factors at fixed levels. However, the drawback of this procedure is that it is used so far only for the balanced experimental designs. That is, the ANOME Procedure is applicable only if we have equal number of observations in each cell of the experimental designs. In this series Part I and in Part II, we have presented a step-by-step method for the use of ANOME procedure to analyze the missing data from Latin Square Designs, Graeco Latin Square Designs, Hyper Graeco Latin Squares Designs, Replicated Latin Squares Designs of Type I, Type II and Type III, F-Square Designs and Cross-over Designs without Residual Effects. The proposed ANOME procedure is also illustrated with the help of numerical examples. The key point in using the ANOME procedure to analyze the missing data is to get the complete data by inserting estimates of the missing values in their respective cells. For estimating several missing values from Latin Square Designs, Graeco-Latin Square Designs, Hyper-Graeco- Latin Squares Designs, Cross-over Designs and F-Square Designs one may refer to Subramani and Ponnuswamy (1989), Subramani (1991, 93, 94, 2008b) and Subramani and Aggarwal (1993).

### **References**

- *1. COCHRAN, W.G. and COX. G.M. (1957): Experimental Designs, 2 nd Edition, Wiley, New York.*
- *2. HEDAYAT, A. and SEIDEN, E. (1970): F-Square and Orthogonal F-Squares Design: A Generalization of Latin Square and Orthogonal Latin Squares Design., Ann. Math. Statist. 41, 2035-2044.*
- *3. HEDAYAT, A., RAGHAVA RAO, D. and SEIDEN, E. (1975): Further Contributions to the theory of F-Square Design, Ann. Statist. 3, 712-716.*
- *4. JOHN, J. A. and QUENOUILLE, M. H. (1977): Experiments: Design and Analysis, Charles Griffin, London, UK.*
- *5. MONTGOMERY, D.C. (1984): Design and Analysis of Experiments, 2nd Edition, Wiley, New York.*
- *6. OTT. E.R.(1967): Analysis of Means – A Graphical Procedure, Industrial Quality Control, 24, 101-109.*
- *7. SCHILLING, E.G. (1973): A Systematic Approach to Analysis of Means, Journal Of Qaulity Technology, 5, 92-108,147-159*
- *8. SUBRAMANI, J. (1991): Non-iterative Least Squares Estimation of Missing Values in Graeco-Latin Square Designs, Biometrical Journal, 33, 763-769.*
- *9. SUBRAMANI, J (1991): Non-iterative Least Squares Estimation of Missing Values In Replicated Latin Square Designs, Biometrical Journal, 33, 999-1011.*
- *10. SUBRAMANI, J. (1992): Analysis of Means for Experimental Designs with Missing Observations, Communi. in Statistics – Theory and Methods, 21, 2045-2057.*

Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 2008, Vol.3, Issue.4

- *11. SUBRAMANI, J (1993): Non-iterative Least Squares Estimation of Missing Values In Hyper-Graeco-Latin Square Designs, Biometrical Journal, 35, 465-470.*
- *12. SUBRAMANI, J. (1994): Non-iterative Least Squares Estimation of Missing Values In Cross-Over Designs without Residual Effect, Biometrical Journal, 36,285-292.*
- *13. SUBRAMANI, J. (2008a): Analysis of Means for Analysing Missing Data from Experimental Designs- Part I – Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 3, 136-145.*
- *14. SUBRAMANI J (2008b): Estimation of Several Missing values in Experimental Designs, South East Asian Journal of Mathematical Sciences,*
- *15. SUBRAMANI, J.and AGGARWAL, M.L. (1993): Estimation of several Missing values in F-Square Designs, Biometrical Journal, 35,455-463.*
- *16. SUBRAMANI, J.and PONNUSWAMY, K.N. (1989): A Non-iterative Least Squares Estimation of Missing Values in Experimental Designs, Journal Of Applied Statistics, 16, 77-86.*
- *17. WILKINSON, G.N. (1958): Estimation of Missing Values for the Analysis of Incomplete Data, Biometrics, 14,257-286.*
- *18. YATES, F. (1933): The Analysis of Replicated Experiments when the Field Results are Incomplete, Empire Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 129-142.*