Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 2007, Vol.2, Issue.4



# OPTIMIZATION OF YARN QUALITY AND COST WITH DIFFERENT COMBINATIONS OF FIBER VARIETIES USED IN COTTON SPINNING MILLS

R. Raja<sup>1</sup> & K. Suryaprakasa Rao<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor & Head / Department of Mechanical Engineering, Anand Institute of Higher Technology, Chennai-603103, India Email: rraja1966@rediffmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Professor & Head / Department of Industrial Engineering, Anna University, Chennai-600 025, India Email: ksprao@annauniv.edu

### ABSTRACT

This paper deals with the study of optimum mixing of different cotton varieties with varying properties. Suitable multi-objective mathematical model has been formulated so as to minimize the overall cost and maximize the desired quality of the output, the yarn. Applying the norms recommended by South India Textile Research Association (SITRA), various groupings of fiber varieties were proposed. By using Goal programming approach, optimal proportions of fiber varieties were identified for maximum quality and minimum cost. These results are expected to assist the spinning mill managers in their decision-making on raw materials inventory.

Keywords: Spinning process, Cotton properties, Multi-objective optimization, Goal programming.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Mixing of various varieties of cotton has been a major manufacturing practice since the beginning of cotton textile industry. A cotton fiber is a peculiar object. It has no fixed length, width, thickness, and shape and cross-section. Moreover the properties like fiber length, fineness, strength, maturity, rigidity and other structural features may vary. This may be due to the variations in growth factors like dietary, metabolic, nutrient supply, topography, seasonal and weather. Spinning system is an important system in textile industries, which consists of a set of processes to convert raw cotton into yarn. In spinning system the raw cotton is ginned (impurities removed) and pressed in bales of 170 kg each for supply to mills where it will be converted into yarn.

The main technical challenges in the spinning mills are to convert the high variability in the characteristics of cotton to a uniform end product, yarn. This critical task is mainly achieved in the mixing (blending) process. Mixing of different cotton varieties with varying properties and cost in an optimal proportion would reduce overall yarn production cost. In this process the optimal proportion should not impair quality and processing efficiency. In this paper an attempt has been made to study and propose the optimum mixing of cotton varieties and cost for desired level of the out put, yarn quality.

Corresponding author: rraja1966@rediffmail.com

#### **1.1 QUALITY LEVELS OF COTTON FIBERS**

Cotton producing areas are spread throughout in India. There are nine major cotton producing states producing thirty varieties of cotton with different properties [1]. Among the various fiber properties of cotton, fiber length (FL), fiber fineness (Micronaire -MC) and fiber strength (FS) are highly influencing on the yarn quality (CSP-Count Skein Product). Properties of cotton varieties with prevailing cost are presented in Table 2.

The South Indian Textile Research Association (SITRA) has recommended the groupings of cotton varieties for the production of different yarn quality (expressed in counts), which are presented in Table 2.

# 1.2. ROLE OF OPTIMIZATION IN COTTON MIXING

One of the common approaches in mixing is massive blending, in which vast quantities of bales (cleaned and pressed cotton in packs) are mixed by grade or growth area, to reduce variability, which is not fully a scientific approach. Optimization techniques such as mathematical linear programming can be used to calculate the composition of the mix, that allows yarn to be spun in the properties that were put forward at the cheapest price [2]. El Mogahzy and Gowayed [3-5] have studied and applied extensively the simplex method for optimizing independently the cotton costs and the fiber quality. According to them the best mixing can be done with minimum cost Linear Programming approach.

Minimizing the cost and maximizing the quality of yarn varieties are the objectives of our study as stated earlier. Since it is a problem of multi-objective optimization, the Goal programming technique has been selected. As Goal Programming is a major decision making technique used to tackle multi objective allocation problems [6], various researchers have applied it to their respective case studies [7].

The procedure followed in goal programming is to establish a specific numeric goal for each of the objectives, formulate an objective function for each objective and then seek a solution that minimizes the weighted sum of deviations of these objective functions from their respective goals.

#### 2. MODEL FORMULATION

El Mogahzy [3] has outlined the methodology for solving this cotton-mixing problem. His assumptions hold good in our study also. Mathematical formulation of a Goal Programming model is based on linearity i.e. linear forms of both objective functions and constraints. Another assumption is certainty, which implies that parameters such as costs and average levels of quality are known with certainty.

While formulating the model, the proportion of particular cotton to be used in the mix is taken as decision variable. Associated with this, sets of constraints that may be expressed are, minimum quality requirements of fibers, minimum cotton cost and maximum yarn quality (CSP) expected. The fiber length (short and long), fiber strength and fiber fineness are taken as quality constraints of fibers. Constraints may take the form of an equation or an inequality depending on the nature of constraint. In the case of cotton mixing an obvious constraint is, the sum of proportions of cotton fibers is unity.

The goal constraints are:

1-

1. Lower bound on Yarn quality can be stated as

$$Q_{\min} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} (Q_i X_i) - y_1^+ + y_1^- \qquad (2.1)$$

- 2. Upper bound on Cotton cost can be expressed as
- $C_{max} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (C_i X_i) y_2^+ + y_2^-$ (2.2)

#### Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 2007, Vol.2, Issue,4

3. Allowable Fiber length not to exceed the limit of

$$FL_{min} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} (FL_i X_i) - y_3^+ + y_3^- \qquad (2.3)$$

4. Allowable fiber strength not to exceed the limit of

$$FS_{min} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} (FS_i X_i) - y_4^+ + y_4^- \qquad (2.4)$$

5. Allowable Fineness (Micronaire) not to exceed the limit of

$$MC_{min} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} (MC_i X_i) - y_5^+ + y_5^- \qquad (2.5)$$

Where,

i = 1, 2...k: ('k' represents cotton varieties. For each count group, the maximum number of cotton varieties can be five as recommended by SITRA)

FL<sub>i</sub> : Fiber Length of variety i

FS<sub>i</sub> : Fiber Strength of variety <sub>i</sub> MC<sub>i</sub> : Fiber Fineness of variety <sub>i</sub>

- : Proportion of Cotton Fiber in variety i Xi
- : Cotton Cost of variety i Ci

6

The overall objective then is to choose the values of decision variables so as to

Minimize Z = 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{6} (W_j (y_j^- + y_j^+))$$
 (2.6)

Where.

- Z is the number of penalty points incurred by missing goals
- Wirepresents equal weights for all the six goals
- $y_j$ ,  $y_j^+$  are deviational variables,
- $j = 1, 2, \dots 6$  (No. of goal constraints considered in the formulations)

The data from Tables 1 and 2 are taken as inputs to the above model and solved for optimal solution using Mathematical Programming Language (MPL) software.

#### 3. APPLICATION OF THE MODEL

The general multi-objective optimization model presented in the previous section has been considered as the base model for conducting experiments for various targeted quality values and cost. The details are presented below.

#### 3.1 EXPERIMENT FOR COUNTS 10s

Here, five cotton varieties, namely Bengaldeshi, Comillas, Wagad, G.cot -12 and Suyodhar - 12 are considered, for producing yarn with quality representing counts 10s.

Goal 1-Desired quality value (CSP): 1580 Goal 2-Upper limit on cotton cost: Rs. 3700 Goal 3-Lower limit on fiber strength: 16 g/tex Goal 4-Lower limit on short fiber length: 10 mm Goal 5-Lower limit on long fiber length: 20 mm Goal 6-Lower limit on fiber fineness: 6 µg/inch

The selected cotton varieties are Bengaldeshi, Comillas, Wagad, G.cot - 12 and Suyodhar - 12 with the CSP values of 1443, 1465, 1528, 1812, and 1813 and cost of Rupees 3684, 3695, 3796, 3865, and 3876 respectively. The other constraints like fiber strength, fiber length and fiber fineness are taken from Table 1.

Goal programming model for experiment 1

Weights considered for Goal 1 : 50 Weights considered for Goal 2 : 50 Weights considered for Goal 3 : 2 Weights considered for Goal 4 : 2 Weights considered for Goal 5 : 2 Weights considered for Goal 6 : 2

These weights are hypothetical values only. Minimize

 $\begin{array}{c} 50 \ y_1^- + 50 \ y_1^+ + 2 \ y_2^- + 2 \ y_2^+ + 2 \ y_3^+ \ + 2 \ y_3^- + 2 \ y_4^+ \ + 2 \ y_4^- \\ + 2 \ y_5^+ \ + 2 \ y_5^- + 50 \ y_6^- \ + 50 \ y_6^+ \ (3.1) \end{array}$ 

Subjected to the goal constraints

In the above formulation  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$  and  $X_5$  are decision variables representing proportions of cotton varieties to be mixed, which vary between 0 and 1. Using MPL software, the developed model is solved and results are presented in Table 3. These results indicate optimal mix of cotton fibres namely Bengaldeshi, Comillas, Wagad, G.cot - 12 and Suyodhar - 12 with a proportion of 0.451867, 0.049752, 0.045137, 0.070308 and 0.382936 will result

#### Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 2007, Vol.2, Issue.4

in minimum cost of Rs 3700 per 100 kg of cotton and maximum quality of 1580 CSP.

## 3.2 SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

This analysis yields the range over which values of given parameter of the problem may vary without altering nature of final solution. The first kind of sensitivity analysis is for cost coefficients. Cost range for cotton varieties are given in Table 4.

For example, as shown in Table 4, the cost range for cotton variety Bengaldeshi is from Rupees 3634 to Rupees 3734. This means that for this range, proportions of cotton varieties Bengaldeshi, Comillas, Wagad, G.cot - 12 and Suyodhar - 12 with a proportion of 0.451867, 0.049752, 0.045137, 0.070308 and 0.382936, respectively will remain unchanged. At a cost outside the sensitivity range, different proportions will result.

Sensitivity analysis for the target values of constraints shows the range over which a value of the targeted constraint can be varied without changing set of variables that is part of the solutions. For example the sensitivity range for fiber strength is 13g/tex to 17g/tex. This means that the targeted constraint should remain in this range to keep the same decision variables in the solution. If the value of fiber strength is changed to 18 g/ tex the analysis will yield different cotton proportions.

Similarly the above multi-objective optimization model was run for a specific group of cottons for selected varieties of yarn (counts), under a selected combination of desired quality level and a targeted cost.

#### **3.3 EXPERIMENT FOR COUNTS 20s**

In case of counts 20s, the model has been run for a desired quality value (CSP) of 1830 and cost of Rs 3980. Constraints like fiber strength, fiber length and fiber fineness are taken from Table 1, and these values are incorporated in equations (3.1) to (3.6).

The results from this model presented in Table 5 indicate, to mix the cotton fibers Digvijay (Maha), G. cot - 12, J - 34, Jayadhar and Wagad in a proportion of 0.081904, 0.508097, 0.120754, 0.184843 and 0.104402, respectively.

## 3.4 EXPERIMENT FOR COUNTS 30s

Similarly for the counts 30s, the model has been run for a desired quality value (CSP) of 2120 and cost of Rs 5300. The results from this model presented in Table 6 indicate, to mix the cotton fibers Digvijay (Guj), F - 414, LRA – 5166, MECH and Laxmi in a proportion of 0.06970, 0.36900, 0.14890, 0.15880 and 0.25360, respectively. In a similar way the model is run for several combinations of desired quality and cost levels.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

One of the major problems faced by the spinning mill managers is to regulate the inventory of raw material, the cotton. Since several cotton varieties are available in the market, the manager has an option to select the desired varieties for maximum quality and minimum cost.

In this paper an attempt has been made to study on the optimum mixing of different cotton varieties for the desired level of yarn quality. Though we have presented here only a sample of results, there is a possibility of running the model for different combinations of cotton varieties and all the counts listed in Table 1 and Table 2. These results can be presented as a Database for the proposed Decision Support System (DSS).

The cotton varieties are purchased at different times of the year, taking advantage of favorable market conditions of price and availability of desirable cotton qualities. The results of this work can be useful in cotton purchasing decisions and as a controlling tool in the raw material inventory.

#### Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 2007, Vol.2, Issue.4

There is a scope to develop a DSS, which will help the cotton mill managers to select optimal combinations of different cotton fibers available in the market, for a selected level of quality and cost. Work in this direction is in progress.

#### 5. REFERENCES

[1] Sitra (1995) 'Norms for Spinning Mills', Hand Book, The South India Textile Research Association, Coimbatore, India.

[2] Langenhove L.V. (1995), 'Computer controlled quality management in the cotton spinning mill', ITB Yarn and Fabric Farming, Vol. 1, pp. 34- 36.

[3] El Mogahzy Y.E. (1992) 'Optimizing cotton costs with respect to Quality using HVI properties and Linear programming, Part I: Fundamentals and Advanced Techniques of Linear programming', Textile Research Journal, Vol.62, No.1, pp. 1-8.

[4] El Mogahzy Y.E. and Gowayed Y. (1995), 'Theory and practice of cotton fiber selection, Part II: sources of cotton mix variability and critical factors affecting it', Textile Research Journal, Vol. 65, No.1, pp. 75-84.

[5] El Mogahzy Y.E. and Gowayed Y. (1995), 'Theory and practice of cotton fiber selection, Part I: Fiber selection techniques and bale picking algorithms', Textile Research Journal, Vol. 65, No. 1, pp. 32-40.

[6] Zachariah B. and Kurien C.P. (1998), 'Income Estimation: A Goal Programming Model with Rotating Priorities', Opsearch, Vol. 35, No. 4, pp. 346-352.

[7] Sarma G.V., Sellami L. and Houam K.D. (1993), 'Application of Lexicographic Goal Programming in Production Planning - Two case studies', Opsearch, Vol. 30, No. 2, pp. 141-162.

Name of the Cottor Fibers (Varieties)	Short n Fiber Length (mm)	Long Fiber Length (mm)	Fiber Strength (g/tex)	Micronaire (µg/inch)	Quality (CSP)	Cotton cost For 100 kg(Rs)
Bengal deshi	18	9	15	7	1443	3684
Comillas	19	9	16	8	1465	3695
Wagad	21	11	16	6	1528	3796
G.cot.12	23	11	16	5	1812	3865
Suyodhar 12	23	11	18	5	1813	3876
F414	23	12	20	4	2117	4988
Digvijay (maha)	24	12	21	4	2242	4316

#### **Table 1. Varieties of Cotton and Properties**

# Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 2007, Vol.2, Issue.4

Name of the Cotton Fibers (Varieties)	Short Fiber Length (mm)	Long Fiber Length (mm)	Fiber Strength (g/tex)	Micronaire (µg/inch)	Quality (CSP)	Cotton cost For 100 kg(Rs)
V-797	24	11	18	4	1859	4049
Jayadhar	24	11	18	5	1837	4059
J-34	24	11	19	4	2000	4555
Bikareri narma	25	12	19	4	2102	4556
H-777	25	12	21	4	2158	4673
SRT-1(maha)	25	12	18	4	1906	4888
NHH44	26	12	21	4	2271	5521
Digvijay(Guj)	25	12	25	4	2277	5638
G.cot.13	26	13	18	5	1818	5378
Laxmi	26	12	18	4	1977	5466
G.agethi	27	13	19	4	2018	5544
1007	28	12	22	4	2186	5655
MCU-7	28	13	20	4	2135	5787
MECH	29	14	21	4	2263	5624
LRA-5166	29	14	20	4	2145	5287
Sankar4	30	14	22	4	2301	7067
JKHY1	30	15	21	4	2166	5566
MCU7	30	14	22	3	2465	7171
Sankar6	30	15	21	4	2053	5765
Hybrid4	30	14	20	4	2022	5482
MCU5	32	15	21	3	2331	7249
DCH32(kar)	34	16	23	3	2514	9836
Suvin	36	18	30	3	2673	9950

Table 1. (Contd...)

# Table 2 Groupings of Cotton Varieties for Different Counts

Group No.	Counts	Cotton Varieties
1	Up to 10s	Bangladeshi, Comillas, Wagad
2	11s - 20s	Jayadhar, Digvijay (Maha), Wagad, G.cot.12, Suyodhar12, j-34
3	21s - 30s	Digvijay (Guj), F414, MECH, Laxmi, LRA- 5166, V -797
4	31s - 40s	1007, MCU-7, Sankar-4, G. Agethi, G. cot. 13,
5	41s - 80s	Sankar-6, Hybrid-4, JKHY-1, MCU-7, NHH44
6	81s - 120s	Suvin, DCH -32(kar), MCU-5 (TN)

## Journal of Manufacturing Engineering, 2007, Vol.2, Issue.4

## Table 3 Optimal Proportions of Cotton Varieties for Counts 10s (Experiment 1)

	Specified Goal values			
Minimum	Quality (CSP) - 1580	Max. Cost Rs. 3700		
Decision Variables X <sub>j</sub>	Name of the fiber	Proportions		
$X_1$	Bengaldeshi	0.451867		
$X_2$	Comillas	0.049752		
$X_3$	Wagad	0.045137		
$X_4$	G. Cot - 12	0.070308		
$X_5$	Suyodhar - 12	0.382936		

## **Table 4 Cost Range for Cotton Varieties**

Target Cost (Rs)	Allowable Minimum Cost (Rs)	Allowable Maximum Cost (Rs)
3684	3634	3734
3695	3645	3745
3796	3746	3846
3865	3815	3965
3876	3926	3976
	( <b>R</b> s) 3684 3695 3796 3865	Target Cost (Rs)         Minimum Cost (Rs)           3684         3634           3695         3645           3796         3746           3865         3815

# Table 5 Optimal Proportions of Cotton Varieties for Counts 20s

	Specified Goal values			
Minimum (	Quality (CSP) - 1830	Max. Cost Rs. 3980		
Decision Variables X <sub>j</sub>	Name of the fiber	Proportions		
$X_1$	Digvijay (Maha)	0.081904		
$X_2$	G. Cot - 12	0.508097		
X3	J. 34	0.120754		
$X_4$	Jayadhar	0.184843		
X5	Wagad	0.104402		

# Table 6 Optimal Proportions of Cotton Varieties for Counts 30s

	Specified Goal values			
Minimum Q	uality (CSP) - 2120	Max. Cost Rs. 5300		
Decision Variables X <sub>j</sub>	Name of the fiber	Proportions		
$X_1$	Digvijay (Gug)	0.06970		
$X_2$	F - 414	0.36900		
X <sub>3</sub>	LRA – 5166	0.14890		
$X_4$	MECH	0.15880		
$X_5$	Laxmi	0.25360		