



A CRITICAL REVIEW ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN EDM: ADVANCEMENTS IN PROCESS OPTIMIZATION, MONITORING, AND PREDICTIVE CONTROL

Mohammad Zahid Ahmad¹, Mohammad Shahnawaz², Azhar Equbal^{3*}

¹Regional Development Lead, Europe, Middle East and Asia Pacific, BASF, Saudi Arabia – 34326, KSA

²Manager Process Engineering (DS), Maaden Waad Al Shamal Phosphate Company, Saudi Arabia – 11537

³Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi - 110025, India

Abstract

Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) is a widely used non-traditional machining process that removes material from a workpiece by a series of repetitive discharges. EDM is widely used for machining hard, brittle, and heat-resistant materials, often achieving high precision. The EDM process is influenced by various factors, including discharge energy, pulse duration, and electrode wear. These factors can be challenging to optimize due to their interdependencies and the highly nonlinear behaviour of the process. Traditionally, the optimization process has been conducted through trial and error or operator expertise, both of which are time-consuming and costly. Artificial Intelligence (AI), including machine learning (ML), neural networks (NN), fuzzy logic, and real-time process monitoring, offers powerful tools to automate and optimize these processes. This paper explores how AI is applied in EDM for process optimization, quality enhancement, predictive modeling, and real-time adjustments, with a focus on practical applications, methodologies, and challenges.

Keywords: *Electrical Discharge Machining, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Neural Networks, Fuzzy Logic, Parameter Optimization.*

1. Introduction

Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) is one of the most precise non-traditional machining techniques, widely used for producing intricate and complex shapes in conductive materials. Unlike traditional machining processes, EDM does not rely on mechanical cutting forces; instead, it uses electrical discharges to erode material from the workpiece. The schematic diagram of EDM is shown in Fig. 1. The details of the process can be found elsewhere in the literature [1-2]. This distinctive process allows for the machining of materials that are typically difficult or impossible to work with using traditional methods, such as hardened tool steels, aerospace alloys, and titanium [3-4]. These materials, which exhibit high hardness and wear resistance, are particularly challenging for conventional cutting tools. In EDM, electrical discharges generate localized, extremely high temperatures capable of melting and vaporizing the material, enabling the removal of tiny amounts of material with high precision [5]. Despite EDM's remarkable capabilities, several inherent challenges hinder its efficiency and effectiveness. One of the primary obstacles lies in the complex interrelationships between the multiple process parameters that influence the outcome. Key parameters, such as discharge energy,

pulse duration, current, voltage, and dielectric fluid properties, interact nonlinearly, making the process difficult to optimize [1, 3]. For instance, while increasing the discharge energy can improve material removal rates (MRR), it may simultaneously degrade the surface finish quality or accelerate electrode wear. This delicate balance between various parameters must be carefully managed to achieve the desired results. Moreover, electrode wear significantly affects the accuracy and consistency of EDM. As the electrode material erodes during machining, its shape and size change, potentially leading to deviations from the intended workpiece geometry. Predicting and compensating for this wear is crucial to ensure the longevity of the electrode and the precision of the machined part. Additionally, the surface integrity of the workpiece, such as surface roughness, recast layers, and micro-cracking, remains a persistent challenge in EDM operations. Achieving a smooth surface finish without compromising material removal rates is a delicate task that requires careful process control [6]. Traditionally, EDM optimization has been approached through manual parameter tuning, trial-and-error experimentation, or relying on the expertise of machine operators. While these methods can produce satisfactory results over time, they are time-consuming, inefficient, and prone to human error. Furthermore, EDM

*Corresponding Author -E-mail: azhreqbl09@gmail.com

operations are highly susceptible to variations in material properties, tool wear, machine conditions, and environmental factors, further complicating the process. As a result, the need for precise control over the EDM process is greater than ever, and the quest for more efficient, adaptable, and reliable machining techniques has led to the integration of cutting-edge technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) [6].




Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of EDM

AI technologies have emerged as a revolution to various industries, and their application in EDM holds immense potential to address the challenges faced by traditional optimization methods. AI techniques such as machine learning (ML), artificial neural networks (ANN), fuzzy logic, and real-time process monitoring can drastically enhance the EDM process. By employing vast datasets, AI systems can identify patterns, predict outcomes, and optimize machining parameters with a level of precision and efficiency that surpasses conventional methods [7-8]. For instance, a machine learning model can predict key performance indicators, such as material removal rate, surface roughness, and electrode wear, enabling operators to adjust parameters in real time for optimal results. Neural networks can be used for process modeling, capturing complex relationships between inputs and outputs that are difficult to define mathematically [9]. Additionally, fuzzy logic controllers enable adaptive, flexible decision-making, which is particularly useful for addressing the inherent uncertainty in the EDM process.

Real-time process monitoring systems, powered by AI, can continuously assess machining conditions, detect deviations, and automatically adjust parameters to maintain optimal performance [7]. These systems can help mitigate the impact of machine variability, material inconsistency, and tool wear, ensuring that the machining process remains stable and predictable throughout the operation. The ability to reduce human intervention, predict failures before they occur, and make data-driven,

real-time decisions can significantly improve the overall efficiency, precision, and cost-effectiveness of EDM operations.

Incorporating AI into the EDM process is not merely about automating traditional tasks but represents a fundamental shift in how machining is approached. AI can lead to more precise, consistent, and adaptive EDM processes, resulting in higher-quality parts, reduced machining time, and lower operational costs [10]. This paper explores how AI is being applied to EDM, focusing on process optimization, predictive maintenance, real-time control, and adaptive systems. By exploring AI-driven methodologies, this paper demonstrates how AI can unlock new levels of performance in EDM, setting the stage for the next generation of smart manufacturing.

2. EDM Process Overview and Its Challenges

EDM operates by applying a series of rapid electrical discharges between an electrode (commonly made of copper or graphite) and a workpiece made of electrically conductive material. The discharges occur in a dielectric fluid that cools the workpiece, flushes debris, and insulates the discharge gap. The energy of each discharge generates high temperatures that melt or vaporize the material at the point of contact, causing it to erode.

2.1. Key Parameters Influencing EDM Performance

The various parameters affecting EDM performances are:

- i. **Pulse Duration:** The length of time that the discharge occurs. Longer pulses can increase material removal rate (*MRR*), but they can also degrade surface finish and increase electrode wear [2].
- ii. **Discharge Energy:** The energy generated by each electrical discharge is directly related to material removal rate and surface roughness. High discharge energy typically leads to faster material removal but can result in poor surface finish [2, 5].
- iii. **Electrode Wear:** As the electrode erodes during machining, its shape and size change, potentially affecting machining precision. Managing electrode wear is crucial to maintaining accuracy throughout machining operations [2].
- iv. **Dielectric Fluid:** The dielectric fluid plays an essential role in the EDM process by cooling the workpiece and flushing away debris. Variations in the fluid's properties can impact machining accuracy.

2.2. Challenges in EDM

The various challenges encountered by an EDM process are listed as under:

- i. **Optimization of Process Parameters:** The relationship between the various input parameters (such as pulse duration, discharge energy, and current) and the resulting output parameters (such as MRR and surface finish) is highly nonlinear and complicated [1, 2].
- ii. **Tool Wear:** The wear of the electrode leads to geometric changes and affects machining precision over time. Predicting tool wear and compensating for it during the process is crucial for maintaining quality [4].
- iii. **Surface Integrity:** EDM often leaves recast layers, micro-cracks, and surface roughness. Achieving a smooth surface with minimal defects while maximizing material removal rate remains a challenge [2, 4].
- iv. **Material-Specific Optimization:** Each material requires specific EDM parameters, and the correct parameters are not always intuitive. Selecting optimal parameters for each material is a key challenge.

These challenges underscore the need for advanced control systems to monitor and adjust parameters in real time, where AI plays a role.

3. AI Applications in EDM

Artificial Intelligence has the potential to transform the EDM process in several ways. In particular, AI can assist in process optimization, predictive modeling, real-time control, and parameter selection. The most prominent AI techniques used in EDM are machine learning (ML), artificial neural networks (ANNs), fuzzy logic, and real-time process monitoring.

3.1. Machine Learning for Parameter Prediction

Machine learning (ML) enables systems to learn from historical data and predict outcomes under specific machining conditions without extensive trial-and-error experimentation [11]. In EDM, ML can be employed to predict critical performance indicators such as *MRR*, surface roughness, and tool wear.

- i. **Supervised Learning:** Supervised learning techniques, such as linear regression, support vector machines (SVMs), and decision trees, have been used to model relationships between input parameters (e.g., pulse duration, discharge energy, and voltage) and output responses (e.g., *MRR* and surface roughness). These models are trained on historical data to predict future EDM operations.

- ii. **Unsupervised Learning:** Unsupervised learning approaches, such as clustering algorithms, can identify hidden patterns or clusters within data, such as grouping specific types of workpiece materials and selecting optimal parameters for each group.

A study by Jatti et al. [12] used machine learning to predict *MRR* and surface roughness in EDM operations. Their model accurately predicted machining outcomes, providing operators with useful insights into optimizing process parameters for better performance.

3.2. Neural Networks for Process Modeling

Neural networks (NNs), especially artificial neural networks (ANNs), are powerful tools in AI for modeling complex, nonlinear systems such as EDM. ANNs are particularly useful for process modeling in EDM, where the relationships between input parameters and output quality are difficult to express mathematically.

- i. **Feedforward Neural Networks (FNNs):** These are typically used for predicting outcomes such as material removal rate, surface roughness, and tool wear. FNNs consist of multiple layers of nodes (neurons) where each layer processes input information and passes it to subsequent layers.
- ii. **Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs):** These networks are used for real-time process adaptation, as they can maintain a memory of previous states in the machining process. This is important for monitoring tool wear and for dynamically adapting parameters.

Pradhan et al. [13] used an ANN to predict *MRR* and surface roughness in EDM, with highly accurate results. Their model used input parameters such as pulse duration, discharge energy, and voltage to predict performance outcomes and could be employed for real-time adjustments.

3.3. Fuzzy Logic for Adaptive Control

Fuzzy logic systems (FLS) are especially useful in situations where the relationship between input and output is uncertain or vague. Unlike traditional binary logic systems, fuzzy logic can handle multiple states or degrees of truth, such as “high,” “medium,” and “low,” making it well-suited to the complex, unpredictable nature of EDM.

Fuzzy Control Systems: In EDM, fuzzy controllers can dynamically adjust machining parameters in real time based on sensor feedback. For instance, a fuzzy logic controller might adjust the pulse duration or discharge energy based on surface finish quality or the rate of electrode wear. The system would learn from past observations and make decisions based on linguistic variables.

The primary advantage of fuzzy logic in EDM is its ability to handle uncertainty and imprecision in input data. Since EDM involves highly variable parameters that cannot always be precisely defined, fuzzy logic allows the system to make intelligent decisions based on approximate information.

An application can be seen in which a fuzzy logic controller was implemented to optimize EDM parameters in real time, balancing material removal rate with surface finish quality [14]. The fuzzy logic system continuously adjusted the pulse on-time and off-time, improving performance and reducing electrode wear.

3.4. Real-time Process Monitoring and Predictive Maintenance

AI-based systems can also be used for real-time monitoring of EDM parameters, helping operators detect and respond to issues as they occur during machining. Sensors can measure parameters such as voltage, current, temperature, and gap size in real-time, and AI algorithms can analyze this data to predict potential issues.

- i. **Predictive Maintenance:** AI can be used to predict tool wear and failure before they occur. For example, by continuously monitoring the electrode wear and machining conditions, AI algorithms can forecast when the electrode needs to be replaced, helping to avoid unexpected downtime.
- ii. **Process Control:** AI can enable adaptive process control by dynamically adjusting machining parameters based on real-time feedback. This ensures that the EDM process remains stable even under varying conditions, such as changes in material hardness or electrode wear.

A notable implementation was developed by Singh et al. [15], which used AI systems to predict tool wear in real time and continuously adjust to maintain optimal machining conditions. The study showed improved performance and reduced tool replacement costs as a result of these AI interventions.

4. Benefits and Limitations of AI in EDM

Various benefits offered by the application of AI in EDM are:

- i. **Efficiency Gains:** AI models can optimize EDM parameters, improving material removal rates and surface quality while reducing machining time and costs.

- ii. **Enhanced Quality:** AI-based adjustments maintain surface roughness and dimensional accuracy, even as operating conditions change.
- iii. **Adaptability:** AI systems can adapt in real-time to variations in materials, workpiece geometry, and electrode wear, ensuring consistent machining results.
- iv. **Automation:** AI reduces reliance on expert knowledge and manual adjustments, automating decision-making and enabling more efficient, reliable operations.
- v. **Cost Reduction:** By optimizing and enabling predictive maintenance, AI reduces tool wear and failures, leading to cost savings in maintenance and replacement.

Apart from various benefits offered, the application of AI also has some limitations, as listed under:

- i. **Data Dependency:** AI requires large datasets to function effectively. Inadequate or noisy data can degrade the accuracy of predictions and control actions.
- ii. **Complexity:** Developing and implementing AI systems in EDM requires expertise in both machining and AI, making it a complex and expensive endeavour.
- iii. **Interpretability:** Some AI models, especially deep learning systems, act as "black boxes," making it difficult to understand the rationale behind certain decisions. This lack of transparency could compromise quality control and troubleshooting.
- iv. **Initial Cost:** The upfront costs of implementing AI-based solutions—whether for process optimization, real-time monitoring, or predictive maintenance—can be high, making them inaccessible to smaller shops or businesses without sufficient resources.

5. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence offers significant potential to improve the performance and efficiency of the Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) process. The integration of machine learning, neural networks, fuzzy logic, and real-time monitoring provides tools to optimize EDM parameters, enhance machining precision, predict tool wear, and reduce reliance on human intervention. Despite its promise, challenges such as the need for large datasets, system complexity, and the interpretability of AI models must be addressed to fully

capitalize on these technologies. As AI continues to advance, its applications in EDM will likely expand, driving further improvements in machining precision, productivity, and overall manufacturing quality.

Reference

1. A. K. Eqbal and A. K. Sood, "Electrical discharge machining: An overview on various areas of research," *Manufacturing and Industrial Engineering*, vol. 13, pp. 1–6, 2014.
2. M. I. Eqbal, A. K. Eqbal, and A. K. Sood, "An investigation on the feasibility of fused deposition modelling process in EDM electrode manufacturing," *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, vol. 26, pp. 10–25, 2019.
3. S. P. Gounder, S. Tamil, S. Vartharajan, and R. Venkatesan, "Study of micro-EDM parameters of Stainless Steel 316L: Material removal rate optimization using genetic algorithm," *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 1065–1071, 2014.
4. R. Singh, A. Dwivedi, and P. Kumar, "EDM of high aspect ratio micro-holes on Ti-6Al-4V alloy by synchronizing energy interactions," *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, vol. 35, pp. 1188–1203, 2020.
5. A. Eqbal, A. Eqbal, Z. A. Khan, I. A. Badruddin, et al., "Investigating the dimensional accuracy of the cavity produced by ABS P400 polymer-based novel EDM electrode," *Polymers*, vol. 13, 4109, 2021.
6. W. Ming, H. Jia, H. Zhang, Z. Zhang, K. Liu, J. Du, F. Shen, and G. Zhang, "A comprehensive review of electric discharge machining of advanced ceramics," *Ceramics International*, vol. 46, pp. 21813–21838, 2020.
7. K. Ishfaq, M. Sana, and W. M. Ashraf, "Artificial intelligence–built analysis framework for the manufacturing sector: Performance optimization of wire electric discharge machining system," *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 128, pp. 5025–5039, 2023.
8. U. M. R. Paturi, S. Cheruku, V. P. K. Pasunuri, et al., "Machine learning and statistical approach in modeling and optimization of surface roughness in wire electrical discharge machining," *Machine Learning with Applications*, vol. 6, 100099, 2021.
9. S. B. Ubale, S. D. Deshmukh, and S. Ghosh, "Artificial neural network-based modelling of wire electrical discharge machining on tungsten-copper composite," *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 5655–5663, 2018.
10. P. S. Bharti, "Two-step optimization of electric discharge machining using neural network-based approach and TOPSIS," *Interdisciplinary Applications for Sustainability*, vol. 23, pp. 81–96, 2020.
11. A. Eqbal, S. Akhter, M. A. Eqbal, and A. K. Sood, "Application of machine learning in fused deposition modeling: A review," *Fused Deposition Modeling Based 3D Printing*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 445–463, 2021.
12. V. S. Jatti, R. B. Dhabale, A. Mishra, N. K. Khedkar, V. S. Jatti, and A. V. Jatti, "Machine learning based predictive modeling of electrical discharge machining of cryo-treated NiTi, NiCu and BeCu alloys," *Applied System Innovation*, vol. 5, no. 6, 107, 2022.
13. B. Pradhan and B. Bhattacharyya, "Modelling of micro-electrodischarge machining during machining of titanium alloy Ti-6Al-4V using response surface methodology and artificial neural network algorithm," *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, vol. 223, no. 6, pp. 683–693, 2009.
14. A. Kao, A. Shih, and S. F. Miller, "Fuzzy logic control of microhole electrical discharge machining," *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, vol. 130, no. 6, 064502, 2008.
15. R. Munaro, A. Attanasio, and A. D. Prete, "Tool wear monitoring with artificial intelligence methods: A review," *Journal of Manufacturing and Materials Processing*, vol. 7, no. 4, 129, 2023.